

**Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.**—In what branches and in what departments and through what retrenchment, this economy has been effected?

**Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.**—That has been indicated already in the speech of the Chief Minister previously and we are following that.

**Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.**—In that speech nothing has been mentioned beyond the fact that retrenchment will be effected in the course of the year. So I want to know, after the budget speech, how much of retrenchment has been effected in the services.

**Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.**—If you want details of any particular department, I shall certainly furnish the same.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—Both questions 107 and 144 are of similar nature. They may be taken up together.

**Appointing a Judge to enquire into allegations against the Vice-Chancellor of the Mysore University.**

**Q.—107. Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR (T.-Narsipur).**—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether they had applied for permission of the President of the Republic to appoint a High Court Judge for the purpose of enquiring into the allegations made against the Vice-Chancellor of the Mysore University in connection with the re-valuation of certain answer books of the Engineering Examinations of 1952;

(b) what further steps have been taken in this connection?

**A.—Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO (Minister for Law and Education).**—[on behalf of Sri K. Hanumanthaiya (Chief Minister)].—

(a) Yes.

(b) Subsequently, on the representation of the University Council, it was found necessary to defer the appointing of the Judge for enquiry.

**Q.—144. Sri N. C. NAGAI AH REDDY (Goribidnur).**—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether the Government had requested Government of India for permission to appoint a Judge of the High Court of Mysore for the purpose of enquiring into certain allegation against University in the matter of valuation of answer papers and other irregularities in the year 1952;

(b) whether the Hon'ble President of Republic of India permitted Justice Sri Balakrishnaiah to be appointed for this purpose;

(c) the steps taken by them in pursuance of that order?

**A.—Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.**—(Minister for Law and Education).—[on behalf of Sri K. Hanumanthaiya (Chief Minister)].—

(a) Yes.

(b) Yes.

(c) Subsequently, on the representation of the University Council, it was found necessary to defer the appointing of the Judge for enquiry.

**Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.**—Will the Government be pleased to place on the table of this House the letter addressed to the President seeking for permission?

**Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.**—I do not think it will be proper to place that on the table. At any rate, I will consider.

**Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.**—Will the Government state the reasons prompting them to address that letter?

**Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.**—Because we wanted full and good enquiry into the matter, we thought that the appointment of a Judge would suit the purpose. Hence a letter was addressed.

**Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.**—So while the Government thought that there was a *prima facie* case established, what made them not pursue it?

**Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.**—That has been answered in the reply given that the University led a deputation and met the Chief Minister and said that it would be better that they themselves enquired into the matter and